

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|---|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/669,320 | 0 09/25/2003 | | Kazuhiro Nishiyama | OGOH: 026A | 4144 |
| 6160 | 7590 | 03/08/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| | 10/669,320 09/25/2003 Kazuhiro Nishiyama 6160 7590 03/08/2005 PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P. 1421 PRINCE STREET SUITE 210 | | PARKER, KENNETH | | |
| • | E STREE | · 1 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2805 | | | | 2871 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| | 10/669,320 | NISHIYAMA ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Kenneth A. Parker | 2871 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet with | h the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rej n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA | ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ | | · |
| ·— · | This action is non-final. | |
| 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo | owance except for formal matte | rs, prosecution as to the merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice und | er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-44 and 51-64</u> is/are pending ir | the application. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>31-44 and 51-64</u> are subject to re | striction and/or election require | ement. |
| | | |
| Application Papers | · | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam | niner. | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ | accepted or b) □ objected to b | y the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | the drawing(s) be held in abeyand | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the ∞ | rrection is required if the drawing(s | s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | e Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docum | nents have been received. | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | priority documents have been i | received in this National Stage |
| application from the International Bu | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | list of the certified copies not r | eceived. |
| estable of the entry of | | |
| Commission of the state of the state of | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | , — <u> </u> | ummary (PTO-413) |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 | , | /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | * |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office | -, - | |
| | ce Action Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 03052005 |

Application/Control Number: 10/669,320

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 31-44, drawn to a method of making a liquid crystal, classified in class 349, subclass 187.
- Claim 51-64, drawn to a liquid crystal display, classified in class 349, subclass 129.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case there appears to be no relation between the product and the process, in that the specification does not link the two, and it is only presumed that the product can in fact be made by the process. The product can be made by non-voltage applied fabrication techniques.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Second Note to a 18 A St. Mar. Mar. Mar. Mar.

and the call of the

Control of the Section of the Sectio

Application/Control Number: 10/669,320 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

Both group I and group II are further divided ito species

Group I contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- 1) 34-35, 39
- 2) 34, 36,39
- 3) 37
- 4) 38
- 5) 40-41
- 6) 42
- 7) 43
- 8) 44

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 34 is generic to groups 1 and 2, and claim 33 is generic to 1-8.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicantistic lighten and more specially than his policy of the control of the c

is an awards to take the other of the

Application/Control Number: 10/669,320

Art Unit: 2871

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Group I contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specification embodiments 3-7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

sirolly beint to English Time 17.

in securior on the members

months and a service

The species for All Processing

Application/Control Number: 10/669,320

Art Unit: 2871

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Aug Postion Control Among the

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth A. Parker whose telephone number is 571-272-2298. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

the mass of the page of the comment of the comment

EXAMPLIANCE OF BUILDING

PARACHER PROPERTY LEARNING MARKET TO THE

Application/Control Number: 10/669,320 Page 6

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kenneth A Parker Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871

var passation. Control at 1999.

Out Unit: 287 ft 30 100 100 100.

of orangon () and of the Approximation of the Appr

published applications way of the

States internally, of the con-

cade Minertone (1000) ou neve gazales abolitasi.

nusmess Denies (ER. Alayum) in